

**To:** Larry Silver[lsilver@lssh-law.com]  
**From:** Fajardo, Juan  
**Sent:** Wed 9/14/2016 2:08:22 AM  
**Subject:** RE: Diamond Alkali Site -Settlement Confidential

Thank you Larry. Please note that financial assurance is a matter that the Region must address with EPA HQ. Moreover, as Walter stated, the liquid amount must be substantial. We also continue to wait for a response on the amount of capacity that Occidental Petroleum has with respect providing a guarantee for financial assurance. Finally, as Walter made clear, the final amount of financial assurance has not been determined and depends on factors such as the liquid amount to be provided and approval of EPA management.

Will review the comments provided and respond as soon as possible.

Juan

**From:** Larry Silver [mailto:lsilver@lssh-law.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 13, 2016 9:54 PM  
**To:** Fajardo, Juan <Fajardo.Juan@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Diamond Alkali Site -Settlement Confidential

**For Settlement Purposes Only**

**FRE 408 - Offer to Compromise**

**Confidential**

Juan,

Thanks much for arranging the meeting at Region 2 this past Friday, September 9. We appreciate the Region 2 Passaic team making itself available to us on short notice and the good progress made at the meeting.

As we discussed at the meeting, I am providing attached hereto a redlined ASAOC for the

Remedial Design for OU-2 - the Lower 8.3 miles of the Passaic. This draft is redlined against EPA's version you sent to me on August 26, 2016. As to the major issues we discussed with you on September 8, I note the following:

a. Takeover Penalty – I have included a \$5 million dollar takeover penalty (down from \$25M) in paragraph 81 under “Stipulated Penalties” (Section XVI). We look forward to evaluating EPA's proposed language regarding an advanced payment on Future Response Costs associated with a Work Takeover under paragraph 91 of the ASAO.

b. Federal PRP Carve-out – we have re-inserted the Federal PRP carve-out language into paragraph 92.d (under “XIX. Covenants by Settling Party”), using the identical language from several past Orders for this Site.

c. Sharing of Settlement Proceeds – we look forward to receiving EPA's additional language addressing the sharing of proceeds from future settlements reached by EPA for OU-2.

d. Financial Assurance – we are evaluating EPA's proposal on Friday that OCC provide financial assurance of \$82.5 million, composed of a corporate guarantee and liquid amount. We will get back to you by the end of this week with a response. We have not yet made any changes to the FA section of the ASAO from the last draft.

e. Performance Standards/Dispute Resolution - Oxy respectfully requests that EPA reconsider its opposition to the applicability of Dispute Resolution to development of Performance Standards (PS). Oxy appreciates EPA's offer to modify Section 1.4 of the SOW to provide assurance that the PS will be developed jointly by the parties, with EPA giving serious consideration to the opinions and suggestions of OCC, and that is language that Oxy would like to see included in the SOW regardless of the outcome of our discussions about PS being subject to Dispute Resolution. We fully recognize that EPA ultimately would be the final arbiter of the content of the PS (which is what the Dispute Resolution provision calls for in any event).

Proposed Statement of Work language (Section 1.4): “EPA will develop Performance Standards (PS) related to remedy implementation. EPA will collaborate on an ongoing basis with Settling Party throughout the PS development process, giving full consideration to the opinions and suggestions provided by Settling Party. The RD will be developed to achieve the PS. EPA will

be the final arbiter with respect to the content of the PS, in conformance with the Dispute Resolution provisions of the ASAOC.”

Please be advised that we are providing these additional comments and edits to the Order subject to Oxy management review and approval and Oxy is reserving all rights in that regard.

Please note that there is one additional subject, raised in my July 15, 2016 email to you, that I neglected to follow-up on last week, which is of some importance to our technical staff: as noted in your letter to Benjamin Lippard dated June 27, 2016, the assistance EPA will provide the Settling Party in accomplishing the Congressional deauthorization of the navigation channel above RM 1.7.


We look forward to further discussions with you on the Order and related Statement of Work.

Regards,

Larry

Larry Silver  
[lsilver@lssh-law.com](mailto:lsilver@lssh-law.com)

Direct: 215.239.9023



1818 Market Street, Suite 2610, Philadelphia, PA 19103-5319  
215-732-3255 | FAX: 215-732-3260

65 South Main Street, Suite B103, Pennington, NJ 08534  
856-727-0057 | FAX: 856-727-0315

[www.lssh-law.com](http://www.lssh-law.com)

This message and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information and are only for the use of the intended recipient of this message. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender by return email, and delete or destroy this and all copies of this message and all attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, use, distribution, or reproduction of this message or any attachments is prohibited and may be unlawful.

\*\*\*\*\*

Any Federal tax advice contained herein is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used by you or any other person, for the purpose of avoiding any penalties that may be imposed by the Internal Revenue Code. This disclosure is made in accordance with the rules of Treasury Department Circular 230 governing standards of practice before the Internal Revenue Service. Any written statement contained herein relating to any Federal tax transaction or matter may not be used by any person without the express prior written permission in each instance of a partner of this firm to support the promotion or marketing of or to recommend any Federal tax transaction(s) or matter(s) addressed herein.

\*\*\*\*\*